



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/703,869	11/02/2000	Masafumi Baba	001461	2292

38834 7590 01/26/2006

WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP  
1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW  
SUITE 700  
WASHINGTON, DC 20036

EXAMINER

POON, KING Y

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2624

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/703,869	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BABA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> King Y. Poon	<b>Art Unit</b> 2624	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 December 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 23 January 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/27/2005 has been entered.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1, 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki et al. (US 6,213,652) in view of Schoenzeit et al. (US 5,619,624).

Regarding claim 1: Suzuki teaches a processing system (fig. 27, column 41, lines 45-50, print processing device) in which a print data storing unit (the spool that holds document data in a received job, column 41, lines 65-67) and a spool file storing unit (the printer queues, of column 44, lines 37-40, 214 of fig. 29) are attached, comprising: processing units (the units in the processing device excluding job execution section,

Art Unit: 2624

column 41, lines 45-50) for registering a print request command (attributes of a print job, column 44, lines 60-68, column 45, lines 1-15; e.g., the after complete processing or before complete processing, column 43, line 60) of an accepted print job (column 41, line 55, column 45, lines 27-31), storing print data (documents data of a print job, column 41, lines 65-67, fig. 30a-c, column 45, lines 27-31) of the print job in said print data storing unit (spool, column 41, line 66, column 45, lines 1-5; also see column 41, lines 55-60, job acceptance section unifies various type of format of the received print job and passes the unified format print job to the job control section, since the unifying process takes time, the job control section must have (inherent) a storage for storing the print job to prevent the print job from being lost during the unifying process), reading out (storing document data from the job acceptance section 201, column 41, lines 55, to the printer queue 260, column 44, lines 37-50, also see 214, fig. 28) the print data (a print job inherently consists of print data) from said print data storing unit in accordance with the print request command and writing the print data to said spool file storing unit (the area of the printer queue 260, column 44, lines 37-50 that stores document data); a device control filter (converter, column 42, lines 19-30) for analyzing and processing (converts a print format, column 42, lines 18-21, for example converting print job data into PDL, converting a print format of the job requires analyzing and processing the print data of the job) the print data sequentially read out from the spool file storing unit, (queuing document in printer queue S11, fig. 31 before being processed by the printer, a queue is a FIFO type of memory, inherent properties of a queue) by the processing unit, and outputting said print data so analyzed and processed to a printer, (printer,

Art Unit: 2624

column 42, line 20-25), wherein the processing unit starts writing the print data read out from said print data storing unit to the spool file storing unit in accordance with the print request command (column 46, lines 1-10, fig. 31, column 4, lines 15-20), before said processing unit finishes storing said print data in said print data storing unit (column 44, lines 2-30).

Suzuki, in embodiment 4, does not teach the job processing units and the job execution section are implemented in a processor.

Schoenzeit, in the same area of using processing system (image server, column 5, lines 35-40, fig. 3) for receiving print jobs in a printer queue (RIP queue, column 5, lines 47-46-60) to be read out and processed by an job execution section, (RIP, column 5, lines 60-66), teaches the system of individual modules for: receiving prints jobs, (RIP queue, fig. 3), storing the print job, (RIP queue, fig. 3) reading the print job, (selector, fig. 3) processing the read print data, (RIP, fig. 3) and sending the processed print data to a printer, (output queue, fig. 3) can be implemented as a single processing unit/processor. (Server, column 5, lines 35-40, fig. 3)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Suzuki's processing system to include: implementing the job processing units and the job execution section into a single processing unit. (Processor)

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Suzuki's processor by the teaching of Schoenzeit because of the following reasons: (a) it would have allowed the document

Art Unit: 2624

data to be processed directly in a processor and thereby, eliminating providing extra circuits/processor for processing the document data before it is being sent to the printer to reduce cost; and (b) it would have simplified the complexity of the system by allowing the print data processing to be carried out in a single processing unit instead of using separated, different processing units.

Regarding claim 2: Suzuki teaches wherein the processing unit informs the device control filter (the print request received by the job execution section, column 42, lines 10-22) that the storing of the print data of the print job has been completed (e.g., column 44, lines 50-55), and wherein said device control filter analyzes and processes said print data that has been stored in and read out from the print data storing unit to the end of the print data (see discussion of claim 1)

Regarding claim 3: Suzuki teaches wherein the processing unit can accept and register a plurality of print jobs, (jobs, column 41, line 57) and wherein the device control filter can analyze and process print data processing (converts a print format, column 42, lines 18-21, for example converting print job data into PDL, converting a print format of the job requires analyzing and processing the print data of the job) of respective print jobs (each job is having a file (collection of data) for storing the respective print job documents, column 44, lines 40-50, column 45, lines 27-31) read out in accordance with the print request command (e.g., the after complete processing command, S4, fig. 31) for output to a plurality of different printers (column 43, lines 22-35, column 44, lines 38-40).

Regarding claim 4: Suzuki teaches a processing system (fig. 27, column 41, lines 45-50, print processing device) in which a print data storing unit (the spool that holds document data in a received job, column 41, lines 65-67) and a spool file storing unit (the printer queues, of column 44, lines 37-40, 214 of fig. 29) are attached, comprising: processing units (the units in the processing device excluding job execution section, column 41, lines 45-50) for storing print data of a plurality of accepted print job (jobs, column 41, lines 55-67, column 45, lines 27-31) in a print data storing unit (e.g., spool, column 41, line 66, column 45, lines 1-5; also see column 41, lines 55-60, job acceptance section unifies various type of format of the received print job and passes the unified format print job to the job control section, since the unifying process takes time, the job control section must have (inherent) a storage for storing the print job to prevent the print job from being lost during the unifying process; also see hold queue, column 16, lines 47-55), reading out (storing document data from the job acceptance section 201, column 41, lines 55, to the printer queue 260, column 44, lines 37-50, also see 214, fig. 28) sequentially a print data of (print document of a print jobs fig. 30a-c, fig. 29, column 46, lines 1-7, column 26, lines 50-55) each print job from said print data storing unit and writing the print data to said spool file storing unit (the area of the printer queue 260, column 44, lines 37-50 that stores document data); a device control filter (job execution section, converter, column 42, lines 19-30, processing section of column 44, lines 30-35) for reading out the print data from the spool file storing unit, analyzing and processing (converts a print format, column 42, lines 18-21, for example converting print job data into PDL, converting a print format of the job requires analyzing and

Art Unit: 2624

processing the print data of the job) the print data read out from the spool file storing unit, and outputting the print data to a printer, (printer, column 42, line 20-25), wherein the device control filter is not analyzing and processing the print data, (the print data (e.g., print job data of print job 1, fig. 29) that is not being send out of the queue when the print data is being stored in the queue S5, fig. 31), said processing unit reads out the print data (e.g., print job data of print job 1, fig. 29) of each of the print job in accordance with a specific condition (column 44, lines 37-57, column 43, lines 55, column 44, lines 30-35, fig. 29) which determines an output sequence of the registered print jobs (column 41, lines 55-65, stored and identified print job such that the print job would be able to be retrieved) stored in the print data storing unit, and writes the read out data to the spool file storing unit (printer queue, fig. 31), and the specific condition is set by a user (the user that program the print job to be, e.g., after-completion processing etc, column 44, lines 49-50).

Suzuki, in embodiment 4, does not teach the job processing units and the job execution section are implemented in a processor.

Schoenzeit, in the same area of using processing system (image server, column 5, lines 35-40, fig. 3) for receiving print jobs in a printer queue (RIP queue, column 5, lines 47-46-60) to be read out and processed by an job execution section, (RIP, column 5, lines 60-66), teaches the system of individual modules for: receiving prints jobs, (RIP queue, fig. 3), storing the print job, (RIP queue, fig. 3) reading the print job, (selector, fig. 3) processing the read print data, (RIP, fig. 3) and sending the processed print data to a



printer, (output queue, fig. 3) can be implemented as a single processing unit/processor.  
(Server, column 5, lines 35-40, fig. 3)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Suzuki's processing system to include: implementing the job processing units and the job execution section into a single processing unit. (Processor)

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Suzuki's processor by the teaching of Schoenzeit because of the following reasons: (a) it would have allowed the document data to be processed directly in a processor and thereby, eliminating providing extra circuits/processor for processing the document data before it is being sent to the printer to reduce cost; and (b) it would have simplified the complexity of the system by allowing the print data processing to be carried out in a single processing unit instead of using separated, different processing units.

Regarding claim 5: Suzuki teaches wherein said processing units starts writing said print data of the print job to the spool file storing unit in accordance with a print request command (column 42, lines 10-30), before the processing unit finishes storing of the print data in the print data storing unit.

Regarding claim 6: Suzuki, in embodiment 4 does not teach teaches wherein the processing unit can read out print data of the print job from the print data storing unit for supply to device control filter only when a requester of printing releases the print job from a hold condition in a case wherein the print job is accepted in the hold condition.

However, Suzuki, in column 26, lines 45-55, teaches wherein the processing unit can read out print data of the print job from a storing unit (hold queue) for supply to device control filter only when a requester (user) of printing releases the print job from a hold condition in a case wherein the print job is accepted in the hold condition.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Suzuki's embodiment 4 to include: wherein the processing unit can read out print data of the print job from the print data storing unit for supply to device control filter only when a requester of printing releases the print job from a hold condition in a case wherein the print job is accepted in the hold condition.

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Suzuki's embodiment 4 because (a) it would have allowed a user to control when to process the print job and (b) allowing a user to control the print job would prevent the system of printing when there is an obvious error in the judgment of the user.

### ***Response to Arguments***

3. Applicant's arguments filed on 12/27/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to applicant's argument that that Suzuki does not has an additional memory besides the spool file memory, has been considered.

Art Unit: 2624

In reply: In reply: Suzuki, embodiment 4, column 41, lines 55-67, and column 45, lines 1-5, teaches the document is being stored in the spool of the job acceptance section. Column 44, lines 35-56, teaches the queue management section has printer queue prepared for each printer for storing print job documents. Fig. 27 and fig. 28, teaches the job acceptance section is separated from the queue management section which is part of the job control element section. Therefore, Suzuki teaches a storing unit (the storing unit/spool in the job acceptance section) in addition to the spool file (printer queue).

Furthermore, column 41, lines 55-60, Suzuki, teaches job acceptance section unifies various type of format of the received print job and passes the unified format print job to the job control section. Since the unifying process takes time, the job control section must have (inherent) a storage for storing the print job to prevent the print job from being lost during the unifying process.

Even furthermore, column 41, lines 65-67, teaches the job acceptance section has its own queue.

### ***Conclusion***

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to King Y. Poon whose telephone number is 571-272-7440. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00-4:30.

Art Unit: 2624

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Moore can be reached on 571-272-7437. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

January 23, 2006

  
KING Y. POON  
PRIMARY EXAMINER